Note

Transcriptional Compensation for Gene Loss Plays a Minor Role in Maintaining Genetic Robustness in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Sharyl L. Wong and Frederick P. Roth¹

Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 Manuscript received May 6, 2005

Accepted for publication June 21, 2005

ABSTRACT

If a gene is mutated and its function lost, are compensatory genes upregulated? We investigated whether genes are transcriptionally upregulated when their synthetic sick or lethal (SSL) partners are lost. We identified several new examples; however, remarkably few SSL pairs exhibited this phenomenon, suggesting that transcriptional compensation by SSL partners is a rare mechanism for maintaining genetic robustness.

THE ability to tolerate random mutation is critical to an organism's fitness (KITANO 2004). This tolerance often relies on genes or pathways that can compensate for the loss of one another (TONG *et al.* 2001, 2004). A key indicator of a compensatory relationship is a synthetic sick or lethal (SSL) interaction, in which mutation of two genes in combination yields a more deleterious phenotype than either single mutation alone.

The existence of genetic compensation is well accepted (Nowak *et al.* 1997; WINZELER *et al.* 1999; WAGNER 2000; TONG *et al.* 2001, 2004; GU *et al.* 2003), but the mechanism by which this compensation is achieved remains unclear. Are compensatory genes, expressed at wild-type levels, sufficient to buffer gene loss? Or does the cell detect loss of a gene and respond by upregulating compensatory genes/pathways (*i.e.*, the SSL interaction partners of the deleted gene)? Previously, LESAGE *et al.* (2004) suggested that transcriptional compensation among SSL partners is rare. Now large mRNA expression and genetic interaction data sets offer an opportunity to address this question on a genome-wide level.

Investigating transcriptional compensation among SSL gene pairs: To investigate whether compensatory genes are transcriptionally upregulated in response to gene loss, we employed a large data set of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mRNA expression profiles of single-gene mutants (WINZELER *et al.* 1999; HUGHES *et al.* 2000a) and a systematically generated data set of gene pairs assessed for SSL interaction (TONG et al. 2001, 2004). For each transcriptionally profiled mutant, we considered expression levels of genes previously assessed for SSL interaction with the mutated gene. For example, if the mutant of gene M was transcriptionally profiled and gene M was also assessed for SSL interaction with a gene G, we considered the expression level of G in the mutant of M. More specifically, for each M:G pair, we compared expression of G in mutant and wild-type cells using log ratios [log (expression in mutant/expression in wild type)]. We excluded profiles of known aneuploid strains (HUGHES et al. 2000b) and took averages of log ratios in the cases of duplicate measurements (<10%). We then separately considered M:G pairs with (872) and without (112,686) SSL interaction. The distributions of log ratios for SSL and non-SSL pairs were similar (Figure 1). Normalizing the log ratios using a gene-specific error model (HUGHES et al. 2000a) produced similar distributions (data not shown). These data suggest that transcriptional regulation of compensatory genes does not play a global role in maintaining robustness.

We next investigated whether transcriptional compensation played a greater role among SSL *M:G* pairs with homology in comparison to nonhomologous SSL pairs. Because homologous genes were more likely than nonhomologous genes to overlap in function, we hypothesized that they may exhibit more pronounced compensatory transcriptional effects. Our data did not support this hypothesis (see supplementary material at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/).

Transcriptional compensation among a minority of gene pairs: Although transcriptional compensation for gene loss did not appear to be a global phenomenon,

¹Corresponding author: Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, 250 Longwood Ave., Boston, MA 02115. E-mail: fritz_roth@hms.harvard.edu



FIGURE 1.—Log ratios of expression for each gene, G, in a gene M mutant strain relative to wild type. M:G gene pairs with an SSL interaction are plotted separately from non-SSL pairs.

it has been previously observed for several SSL gene pairs (TERASHIMA *et al.* 2000; LESAGE *et al.* 2004; KAFRI *et al.* 2005). To systematically identify additional cases, we again considered *M:G* pairs previously assessed for SSL interaction. Among genes significantly changed in an *M* mutant (according to significance threshold P <0.001), SSL partners of *M* were more likely than non-SSL partners to be transcriptionally upregulated (P =0.02) (see supplementary material at http://www.genetics. org/supplemental/). Therefore, although transcriptional compensation is rare, our results confirm that it does occur for a minority of SSL pairs.

Six of the 13 SSL gene pairs that we found to exhibit transcriptional upregulation were previously noted (Table 1) (ZHAO *et al.* 1998; LESAGE *et al.* 2004), confirming our method of analysis. Five of these 6 were noted by LESAGE *et al.* (2004), who reported transcriptional upregulation for 10 of the SSL pairs that we investigated. That we observed compensation for only 5 of these 10 pairs may reflect experimental error or differences in mutant strains or growth conditions of the mRNA expression data sets.

For seven pairs, transcriptional upregulation in the context of SSL interaction had not been previously noted (Table 1). However, for the pair *CDC42:GIC2*, compensation at the protein expression level was previously observed; the level of *GIC2* protein increased in the *CDC42*-null mutant (JAQUENOUD *et al.* 1998). For the remaining six pairs, our observations may help define functional relationships between genetic interactors that exhibit compensatory transcriptional upregulation.

The SSL pair *FKS1:RIM21* exhibited transcriptional compensation. Little information on the relationship between the 1,3- β -D-glucan synthase *FKS1* and *RIM21*, a protein of unknown molecular function and cellular component, is available. Our observation of transcriptional compensation by *RIM21* in the *FKS1*-null mutant, in the context of genetic interaction, may help determine the function of *RIM21*.

Another example, *SHE4*, is the SSL partner of *CHS7*. According to the Saccharomyces Genome Database, *CHS7* is a protein of unknown function involved in chitin biosynthesis (DOLINSKI *et al.* 2004) and was transcriptionally upregulated in the *SHE4*-null mutant.

Surprisingly, one gene, *FKS2*, was downregulated in the deletion mutant of its SSL partner, *FKS1* (Table 1). This contrasts with the compensatory transcriptional upregulation seen previously (TERASHIMA *et al.* 2000; LESAGE *et al.* 2004) and may represent an experimental error (see discussion of cross-hybridization below) or differences in the *FKS1* mutants or conditions profiled (HUGHES *et al.* 2000a; LAGORCE *et al.* 2003).

In summary, we explored transcriptional upregulation as a mechanism of compensation for gene loss. While we confirmed a handful of previously observed cases and identified several new ones, our data suggest that transcriptional compensation among SSL partners is rare.

Our conclusion agrees with a qualitative observation from a smaller study, which considered SSL partners of three genes involved in β -1,3-glucan assembly and used a different expression data set (LAGORCE *et al.* 2003; LESAGE *et al.* 2004). By contrast, we addressed this question quantitatively and examined the SSL interaction partners of 18 query genes. Therefore, our conclusion has broader scope, encompassing additional biological processes (see supplementary Table S1 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/ for query genes examined).

We have likely missed some instances of upregulation of compensatory genes. For example, microarrays are not sensitive enough to detect all transcriptional changes. Furthermore, expression experiments were conducted in rich medium, while SSL interaction was assessed in near minimal medium, so pairs exhibiting compensatory upregulation in minimal but not rich media were missed. In addition, cross-hybridization between paralogous genes may mask cases of compensatory upregulation or may cause the appearance of compensatory downregulation (HUGHES et al. 2001). However, even using a permissive definition of homology (BLAST *E*-value $<10^{-3}$), only 2% of SSL gene pairs are paralogous (Tong et al. 2004), so this source of decreased sensitivity to compensatory upregulation is unlikely to have affected our overall conclusion. Finally, the SSL interaction data set has a false-negative rate of 17-41% (Tong et al. 2001, 2004), causing us to incorrectly consider some pairs as non-SSL. However, given the remarkably low frequency of compensatory upregulation observed here, increased sensitivity to SSL interaction is unlikely to change our overall conclusion.

Compensation by upregulation may be even rarer than we report here. In some of the 13 cases that we report, the observed upregulation may not be *required* to achieve compensation. Thus, we may have conservatively

| | | ool when pairs in which express | sion of gene G is sig | nıncanuy cna | ngea in the null mutant of | gene M (r < | (100.0 | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Gene <i>M</i> (the r | mutated vene) | Gene G (for whic | h mRNA exr | rression was measured) | | | L | Franscriptional compensation |
| Gene IDs | Protein | Description | Gene IDs | Protein | Description | Homology | Expression change | <i>P</i> -value(s) | previously noted |
| BNI1 YNL271C S00005215 | Formin, involved in spindle orientation | Formin, nucleates the formation of linear actin filaments, involved in cell processes such as budding and mitotic spindle orientation, which require the formation of polarized actin cables, functionally redundant with BNRL. | SLT2 YHR030C S00001072 | | Suppressor of Jyt2; serine/ threonine MAP kinase. | 5 | Up | 4.2E-4 | |
| CDC42 YLR229C S000004219 | Rho subfamily of Ras-like proteins | Small rho-like GTPase essential for establishment and maintenance of cell polarity; mutants have defects in the organization of actin and septins. | GIC2 YDR309C 800002717 | | Protein of unknown function involved in initiation of budding and cellular polarization; interacts with Cdc42p via the Cdc42/ Rac-interactive binding domain. | | Up | 6.9E-5 | |
| FKS1 Y1R342W S00004334 | 1,3-8-D-glucan synthase | Catalytic subunit of 1,3-β-D-glucan synthase, functionally redundant with alternate catalytic subunit Gsc2p; binds to regulatory subunit Rho1p; involved in cell wall synthesis and maintenance; localizes to sites of cell wall remodeling. | FKS2 GSC2 YGR032W S000003264 | 1,3-B-D-glucan synthase catalytic component | Catalytic subunit of 1,3P3-D-glucan synthase with similarity to an alternate catalytic subunit, Fks1p (Gsc1p); Rho1p encodes the regulatory subunit; involved in cell wall synthesis and maintenance. | Yes | Доwп | 2.1E-8 | TERESHIMA et al. (2000) and LESAGE et al. (2004) observed compensatory upregulation. |
| FKS1 YLR342W S000004334 | | | KA11 MID2 YLR332W S000004394 | | Protein required for mating | | Up | 3.2E-4 | |
| FKS1 YLR342W S000004334 | | | PAL2 RIM21 YNL294C S000005238 | Unknown function | Regulator of IME2 | | Up | 8.8E-4 | |
| FKS1 YLR342W S000004334 | | | RLM1 YPL089C S00006010 | | Serum response factor-like protein that may function downstream of MPK1 (SLT2) MAP-kinase pathway; serum response factor-like protein | | Up | 1.2E-5 2.7E-4 | ZHAO <i>et al.</i> (1998) |
| FKS1 YLR342W S000004334 | | | SLT2 YHR030C S000001072 | | Suppressor of lyt2; serine/ threonine MAP kinase. | | Up | 1.5E-5 9.9E-6 | LESAGE et al. (2004) |
| GASI YMR307W S000004924 | Cell surface glycoprotein (115–120 kDa) | β-1,3-glucanosyltransferase required for cell wall assembly; localizes to the cell surface via a glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor. | CHS3 YBR023C S00000227 | Chitin synthase 3 | Chitin synthase III, catalyzes the transfer of Nacetyl- glucosamine to chitin; required for synthesis of the majority of cell wall chitin, the chitin ring during bud emergence, and spore wall chitosan. | | dn | 7.4E-4 | LESAGE <i>d al.</i> (2004) |

TABLE 1

831 (continued)

Note

| Gen | e M (the mut | tated gene) | Gene G (for whi | ch mRNA exp | ression was measured) | | Expression | | Transcriptional compensation nreviously |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|---|
| Gene IDs P | rotein | Description | Gene IDs | Protein | Description | Homology | change | <i>P</i> -value(s) | noted |
| GAS1 YMR307W S000004924 | | | KRE11 YCR166W S000003398 | | Protein involved in biosynthesis of cell wall β-glucans; subunit of the TRAPP (transport protein particle) complex, involved in the late steps of endoplasmic-reticulum- to-Goloi transnort | | Up | 1.2E-4 | Lesage et al. (2004) |
| GAS1 YMR307W S000004994 | | | SLT2 YHR030C S000001079 | | Suppressor of lyt2; serine/ threonine MAP kinase | | Up | 6.0E-7 | LESAGE et al. (9004) |
| GAS1 YMR307W S000004994 | | | YMR316C-A S000004033 | | | | Up | 5.0E-6 | |
| GAS1 YMR307W S000004924 | | | YAL053W S00000049 | | | | Up | 1.5E-5 | LESAGE et al. (2004) |
| SHE4 YOR035C S00005561 | Å | otein containing a UCS (UNC45/CRO1/SHE4) domain; binds to myosin motor domains to regulate myosin function; involved in endocytosis, polarization of the actin cytoskeleton, and assemmentic mRNA localization | ARC40 YBR234C S00000438 | | Arp2/3 complex subunit, 40 kDa; component of Arp2/Arp3 protein complex. | | Up | 3.0E-4 | |
| SHE4 YOR035C S00005561 | | | CHS7 YHR142W S000001184 | | Protein of unknown function, involved in chitin biosynthesis by regulating Chs3p export from the ER. | | Up | 8.2E-6 | |
| Presented are th (BLAST $E < e^3$); c et al. (2000a); and | ne gene identi direction of e whether tran | ifiers, the protein, and a des xpression change of gene G scriptional compensation fo | scription of gene <i>M</i> , 7 in <i>M</i> null mutant cc or <i>M</i> : <i>G</i> was previously | followed by t ompared to w / noted in the | he same information for g ild type; <i>P</i> value for signific : context of genetic interac | ene <i>G</i> ; wheth cance of chan tion. | er <i>M</i> and <i>G</i> ge in expre | proteins a ssion taken | е homologous from Hughes |

TABLE 1

832

S. L. Wong and F. P. Roth

overcounted cases of compensation by transcriptional upregulation.

Our study has an interesting parallel to a previous study. GIAEVER *et al.* (2002) identified genes required to survive a change *in environmental condition*, while we examined genes required to survive a change *in genotype* (*i.e.*, the synthetic lethal partners of deleted genes). In both cases, genes required for surviving the change are often not transcriptionally upregulated.

Collectively, our results and previous ones suggest that transcriptional "retuning" in response to change, environmental or genotypic, is rare. Given the rarity of gene loss, a regulatory network that detects and responds to gene loss may be a large target for mutation with only a weak selection for its maintenance. Furthermore, the potential consequences of a misregulated network may be too costly to justify its benefit. Regardless of the explanation, our study suggests that robustness is generally achieved without a change in mRNA expression of compensatory genes.

We thank H. Bussey, F. Winston, E. Elion, and J. Roth for valuable discussion. We thank the editor and anonymous reviewers for helpful suggestions. S.L.W. was supported by a Ryan Fellowship. This work was supported by Funds for Discovery provided by John Taplin, by an institutional grant from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and by NIH/NHGRI grant R01 HG003224.

LITERATURE CITED

- DOLINSKI, K., R. BALAKRISHNAN, K. R. CHRISTIE, M. C. COSTANZO, S. S. DWIGHT *et al.*, 2004 Saccharomyces Genome Database (http://www.yeastgenome.org).
- GIAEVER, G., A. M. CHU, L. NI, C. CONNELLY, L. RILES *et al.*, 2002 Functional profiling of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome. Nature **418**: 387–391.
- GU, Z., L. M. STEINMETZ, X. GU, C. SCHARFE, R. W. DAVIS *et al.*, 2003 Role of duplicate genes in genetic robustness against null mutations. Nature **421**: 63–66.

- HUGHES, T. R., M. J. MARTON, A. R. JONES, C. J. ROBERTS, R. STOUGHTON et al., 2000a Functional discovery via a compendium of expression profiles. Cell 102: 109–126.
- HUGHES, T. R., C. J. ROBERTS, H. DAI, A. R. JONES, M. R. MEYER et al., 2000b Widespread aneuploidy revealed by DNA microarray expression profiling. Nat. Genet. 25: 333–337.
- HUGHES, T. R., M. MAO, A. R. JONES, J. BURCHARD, M. J. MARTON et al., 2001 Expression profiling using microarrays fabricated by an ink-jet oligonucleotide synthesizer. Nat. Biotechnol. 19: 342–347.
- JAQUENOUD, M., M. P. GULLI, K. PETER and M. PETER, 1998 The Cdc42p effector Gic2p is targeted for ubiquitin-dependent degradation by the SCFGrr1 complex. EMBO J. 17: 5360–5373.
- KAFRI, R., A. BAR-EVEN and Y. PILPEL, 2005 Transcription control reprogramming in genetic backup circuits. Nat. Genet. 37: 295–299.
- KITANO, H., 2004 Biological robustness. Nat. Rev. Genet. 5: 826–837.
 LAGORCE, A., N. C. HAUSER, D. LABOURDETTE, C. RODRIGUEZ, H. MARTIN-YKEN *et al.*, 2003 Genome-wide analysis of the response to cell wall mutations in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 20345–20357.
- LESAGE, G., A. M. SDICU, P. MENARD, J. SHAPIRO, S. HUSSEIN *et al.*, 2004 Analysis of β -1,3-glucan assembly in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using a synthetic interaction network and altered sensitivity to caspofungin. Genetics **167**: 35–49.
- Nowak, M. A., M. C. BOERLIJST, J. COOKE and J. M. SMITH, 1997 Evolution of genetic redundancy. Nature **388**: 167–171.
- TERASHIMA, H., N. YABUKI, M. ARISAWA, K. HAMADA and K. KITADA, 2000 Up-regulation of genes encoding glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-attached proteins in response to cell wall damage caused by disruption of FKS1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Gen. Genet. 264: 64–74.
- TONG, A. H., M. EVANGELISTA, A. B. PARSONS, H. XU, G. D. BADER et al., 2001 Systematic genetic analysis with ordered arrays of yeast deletion mutants. Science 294: 2364–2368.
- TONG, A. H., G. LESAGE, G. D. BADER, H. DING, H. XU et al., 2004 Global mapping of the yeast genetic interaction network. Science 303: 808–813.
- WAGNER, A., 2000 Robustness against mutations in genetic networks of yeast. Nat. Genet. 24: 355–361.
- WINZELER, E. A., D. D. SHOEMAKER, A. ASTROMOFF, H. LIANG, K. ANDERSON *et al.*, 1999 Functional characterization of the S. cerevisiae genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. Science **285**: 901–906.
- ZHAO, C., U. S. JUNG, P. GARRETT-ENGELE, T. ROE, M. S. CYERT *et al.*, 1998 Temperature-induced expression of yeast FKS2 is under the dual control of protein kinase C and calcineurin. Mol. Cell. Biol. **18**: 1013–1022.

Communicating editor: L. PILLUS